



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/537,428 | 06/02/2005 | Tim Neil | 93422-49 | 3154 |
| 22463 | 7590 | 05/16/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| SMART AND BIGGAR | | | LE, DEBBIE M | |
| 438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE | | | | |
| SUITE 1500 BOX 111 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TORONTO, ON M5G2K8 | | | 2168 | |
| CANADA | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 05/16/2008 | | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/537,428 | NEIL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | DEBBIE M. LE | 2168 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEBBIE M. LE. (3) ____.

(2) Peter Elyjiw. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yach (prior art of record).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly described the claimed invention and distinguished the claimed invention over the prior art of record. However, the examiner suggested that the recited claims do not have the features that described by Applicant's representative, for example, limitation "a representative text file" or "software component". Claimed language needed to further define in order to overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DEBBIE M LE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.